

TITLE OF THE INVENTION

METHOD AND COMPUTER PROGRAM PRODUCT FOR SYSTEM DESIGN
SUPPORT

CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

5 This application is based upon and claims the
benefit of priority from the prior Japanese Patent
Application No. 2001-024491, filed January 31, 2001,
the entire contents of which are incorporated herein by
reference.

10 BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

1. Field of the Invention

 The present invention relates to a method of
supporting design of hardware, software, and a system
including both of them in a computer or electronic
15 device.

2. Description of the Related Art

 In computer systems, a state transition form has
been used as a system analysis technique as represented
by an object-oriented technique such as UML (Unified
20 Forming Language) (Note that UML is described in detail
in, for example, Hans-Eriksson/Magnus Penker, "UML
Guidebook", compiled/translated by Norio Sugimoto,
Osamu Ochiai, and Tamiko Takeda, ISBN4-8101-8987-2.)
A state transition form is a technique of extracting
25 a plurality of states included in a system and
organizing the manners in which the extracted states
make transition depending on events and conditions.

A conventional procedure is to start system design while referring to the state transition form after system analysis is completed.

5 To shorten the system development period and improve the design quality, a so-called rapid prototyping function of actually executing a specification and checking it while analyzing it and a seamless coupled function of system analysis and system design are indispensable.

10 According to the prior art, in consideration of the above situation, techniques of executing the simulation based on a state transition analysis result and converting the simulation into an execution program have been implemented (BetterState). In these
15 techniques, a simulation function and conversion function are implemented by assigning execution programs to an action to be executed instantaneously at a state transition and an activity to be executed when the system stays in a state.

20 A state transition form is based on a premise that the execution of an action is instantaneously completed. Assigning an execution processing content demanding a predetermined execution time longer than 0, typified by a computer program, to an action is mismatching in
25 terms of semantics. In this case, the consistency of the overall behavior of the system cannot be guaranteed.

In addition, since an activity exhibits one-to-one

correspondence between a state and processing, the flexibility of a description in a state transition form, i.e., executing different actions even in the same states concerning a transition destination or source if different transitions take place, is impaired. This limits the description range. As a consequence, with the technique of assigning execution processing contents such as computer programs to actions and activities in the state transition form, an accurate simulation cannot be executed and flexible system design cannot be performed.

BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The present invention has been made in consideration of the above situation, and has as its object to provide a system design support method and computer program product which can shorten a system development period and improve design quality by totally supporting processing from system analysis to design and supporting accurate system design in accordance with the system analysis result.

According to one aspect of the present invention, there is provide a system design support method comprising: generating a first system specification described in a state transition table form using a state transition unit which includes information relating to an execution control over the system; generating a second system specification described in

an execution control table form which includes an
execution processing content in the a system as a set
of state transition units, based on the first system;
and converting the second system specification
described in an execution control table form to a third
system specification having an executable form
described in a system description language.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING

FIG. 1 is a block diagram showing an arrangement
of a system design support apparatus according to an
embodiment of the present invention;

FIG. 2 is a flow chart showing a procedure in the
execution control table conversion section of the
system design support apparatus according to the
embodiment;

FIG. 3 is a flow chart showing a procedure in the
system implementation conversion section of the system
design support apparatus according to the embodiment;

FIG. 4 is a view showing a specification in the
state transition table form;

FIG. 5 is a view for explaining conversion from
a specification in the state transition table form into
a specification in the execution control table form;

FIG. 6 is a view for explaining conversion from
a specification in the state transition table form into
a specification in the execution control table form;

FIG. 7 is a view showing a specification in the

105921.03102

state transition table form;

FIG. 8 is a view showing a specification in the execution control table form;

FIG. 9 is a view for explaining conversion from a specification in the execution control table form into a specification in the system description language form;

FIG. 10 is a view showing a specification in the system description language form; and

FIG. 11 is a view showing a system design support apparatus.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

An embodiment of the present invention will be described below with reference to the views of the accompanying drawing.

A system design support apparatus 100 shown in FIG. 11 is comprised of a specification model description section 101, system specification recording section 107, architecture search section 102, communication synthesizing section 103, hardware specification creation section 104, part formation/reuse section 105, and software specification creation section 106.

The system design support apparatus 100 of this embodiment handles at system level, e.g., a specification for software executed by a computer, a specification for hardware combined with semiconductor

devices and the like, a specification for an incorporated system constituted by a combination of software and hardware, and a specification for a business process such as a work flow.

5 The specification model description section 101, which is used to design a specification model comprised of specifications for calculation and communication in such specifications at system level, is a section for supporting a designer to describe specifications. When
10 the designer describes specifications for calculation contents and communication contents according to a predetermined specification description form, a specification description model is created. This
15 specification description model includes a specification structure to be described later. Examples of the specification description form are a structured text form represented by a structured programming language, a structural chart form using graphs, and a table form using tables.

20 The architecture search section 102 divides a partial structure of a supplied specification description model into elements and distributing the elements to architecture elements while maintaining the contents of the specification in consideration of an
25 architecture (the arrangement of a hardware/software implementation environment). More specifically, parts (the constituent elements of a specification

10059211-013102

description model) constituting specifications for
calculation contents and communication contents
designed by the specification model description section
101 are assigned to architecture elements (creation of
5 an architecture model).

The communication synthesizing section 103
synthesizes communication procedures between
specification elements on an architecture. More
specifically, the communication synthesizing section
10 103 inserts a communication procedure (protocol)
between communication specification elements
distributed by the architecture search section 102 and
performs protocol conversion (rearrangement of a
communication procedure) to match with a communication
15 procedure in which the communication content
specification is inserted (creation of a communication
model).

The system specification recording section 107
associates the specification model created by the
20 specification model description section 101, the
architecture model created by the architecture search
section 102, and the communication model created by the
communication synthesizing section 103 with each other,
and records the resultant data as a system
25 specification.

The hardware specification creation section 104
creates a hardware specification from the system

10059241-013102

specification recorded on the system specification recording section 107. The software specification creation section 106 creates a software specification from the system specification recorded on the system specification recording section 107.

The part formation/reuse section 105 forms the system specification recorded on the system specification recording section 107 into parts and provides them for reuse in design processes in the specification model description section 101, architecture search section 102, and communication synthesizing section 103.

FIG. 1 shows an arrangement of a system design support apparatus according to an embodiment of the present invention.

As shown in FIG. 1, this system design support apparatus is comprised of a system creation section 1, input/output section 2, and storage section (not shown). The system creation section 1 includes an execution control table conversion section 11 and system implementation conversion section 12. The input/output section 2 exchanges data and instructions with external units. The storage section (not shown) stores a specification (21) as an input described in a state transition table form, a specification (22) as an intermediate state described in an execution control table form, a specification (23) as an output described

in a system description language, a conversion rule, a specification integration rule, and the like. This system further includes a communication section 3 and program execution section 4, as needed.

5 The execution control table conversion section 11 of the system implementation conversion section 12 converts the specification (21) described in the state transition table form into the specification (22) described in the execution control table form.

10 The system implementation conversion section 12 converts the specification (23) described in the execution control table form into the specification (23) described in the system description language.

15 This system design support apparatus can be implemented by, for example, executing a program (system design support software) for practicing the present invention on a computer.

20 The semantic contents of the "specification described in the state transition table form (specification in the state transition table form)" and the "specification described in the execution control table form (specification in the execution control table form)" will be described first. Processing in the execution control table conversion section 11 which
25 converts the former into the latter will be described next.

10059211 013102

<Specification in state transition table form>

A specification in the state transition table form is a mechanism for describing the behavior of a system with the definitions of a finite number of states which the system can take and transitions between the states due to "events" (see the specific example shown in FIG. 4 or 7).

A specification in the state transition table form is constituted by, for example, a set of state transition units described below.

state transition unit = (transition number,
current state, event, transition condition, action,
next state, execution control)

Note that state transition units can also expressed as follows: state transition unit = (transition number, current state, event, transition condition, next state, execution control); state transition unit = (transition number, current state, event, action, next state, execution control); state transition unit = (transition number, current state, event, next state, execution control); and the like.

Each state transition unit identified by a transition number represents part of the behavior of the system that when the system is in "current state", if "event" occurs and "transition condition" is satisfied, "action" is executed to make a transition to "next state". In this case, the action at the time of

a transition is instantaneously completed. "Execution control" is an item associated with execution control of a corresponding program (execution processing contents) upon occurrence of a transition.

5 For example, the state transition unit with number "2" in the specific example of the CD player shown in FIG. 4 (action is omitted) represents the following specification. When a pause event (pause) occurs in a playback state (playing), an interruption (interrupt) is caused in a play program to temporarily stop it. At 10 the same time, a pause program is started (start) to make a transition to a pause state (pause).

 As special states, an initial state (start) and an end state (end) are prepared.

15 An initial state (start) is a pointer which indicates the initial state of the system upon activation of the system. The corresponding instruction is expressed as one state transition unit as follows:

20 (start, -, -, -, initial state)

 An end state (end) is a virtual state to which a transition is made at the end.

 The state transition table form allows hierarchical description. More specifically, a set of 25 lower-level state transition units can be grouped into one hierarchical state X, and a specification in the state transition table form including X as one state

10059241.043102

can be defined on a higher level. On a higher level, when a transition is made to the hierarchical state X, a transition is made to the state designated in the initial state (start) on the lower level of X.

5 <Connection of transitions>

10 In the state transition table form, "transition" from a current state to a next state is defined. The next state becomes the current state at the next time. For this reason, an adjacency relationship having directivity holds between state transition units through the same "state". Transitions which satisfy this adjacency relationship will be called "connected transitions" A transition connection relationship is a partial order relation conforming to the directivity of transitions, and a transitivity rule holds. If, for example, transition A is connected to transition B, and transition B is connected to transition C, transitions A and C have a connection relationship.

20 A transition connection along the same direction as the transition direction will be called a forward connection, and the opposite direction will be called a reverse connection. If, for example, transitions A and B are connected to each other, and the connection direction is from transition A to transition B, the connection from transition A to transition B is a forward connection. A connection from transition B to transition A is a reverse connection.

<Details of "execution control">

As an item for "execution control" in the state transition table form, a detailed item associated with execution control on a program is prepared. A

5 processing content unit (program) is input to this detailed item. In this case, the detailed item is comprised of at least a start element (start), stop element (stop), interrupt pause element (interrupt), program end element (finish), restoration of pause
10 (resume), and an item as a combination of these elements.

Note that the completion of a processing content unit (program) will be regarded as an event. This event will be called an end event, in particular, and
15 written as "FINISH" in the state transition table form (see the state transition unit with number "3" in FIG. 4).

<Specification of execution control table form>

A specification in the execution control table
20 form is a mechanism for describing the behavior of a system by defining an execution processing content units such as programs in the system and the manners in which execution control (start, stop, interrupt) is performed on these processing content units (programs)
25 upon state transitions (see the specific example shown in FIG. 8).

A specification in the execution control table

10059211 013107

form is comprised of a set of program state transition units indicating how processing content units (programs) are switched upon state transitions.

5 A program state transition unit is a table for associating a change in the execution state (standby state, execution state, end state, pause state) of a processing content unit (program) due to a state transition with changes in the execution states of other programs.

10 For example, a program state transition unit is expressed in a table form as follows.

program state transition unit = (number, current program, transition, movement type, end type, next program)

15 Each program state transition unit represents the following specification. When "transition" occurs during execution of "current program", execution control is performed on "current program" in accordance with "end type". "Next program" is then started in
20 accordance with "start type".

For example, the program state transition unit with number (2) in the specific example of the CD player in FIG. 8 represents the following specification. When transition [2] occurs during execution of a
25 current program (play), the current program (play) is interrupted/paused, and the current program (pause) is started.

10059211.013102

There are two start types, namely "start" and "resume".

"Start" indicates that a program is started.

"Resume" indicates that execution of a program
5 that has been paused is resumed.

There are three end types, namely "interrupt end", "complete", and "interrupt pause".

"Complete" indicates that when a preceding program is completed, the next program is stated.

10 "Interrupt end" indicates that when a transition occurs during executing of a current program, the current program is forcibly ended, and a next program is started.

"Interrupt pause" indicates that when a transition
15 occurs during execution of a current program, the current program is paused, and a next program is started.

When, for example, a plurality of next programs are defined with respect to a combination of identical
20 units (current program, transition X, movement type Y, end type Z) like

(current program A, transition X, movement type Y,
end type Z, next program B)

(current program A, transition X, movement type Y,
25 end type Z, next program C)

the plurality of next programs (B and C in the above case) are concurrently started upon transition X.

10059241 013102

Note that like a specification in the state transition table form, a specification in the execution control table form includes a start state (start) and end state (end) as special program states.

5 A specification in the execution control table form can be hierarchically described. More specifically, a set of lower-level program state transition units can be grouped into one hierarchical state X, and a specification in the program state transition table form including X as one execution processing content (program) can be defined on a higher level. On a higher level, when a transition is made to the hierarchical state X, a program state designated by an initial state (start) is executed on a lower level of X. If "interrupt end" and "interrupt pause" is performed with respect to the hierarchical X, "interrupt end" and "interrupt pause" are performed with respect to all the programs on a lower level of X.

10 <Processing in Execution Control Table Conversion Section 11>

20 Processing in the execution control table conversion section 11 will be described.

25 The execution control table conversion section 11 converts a state transition table into the execution control table form on the basis of information of execution control items (start, stop, interrupt, resume, finish) in the state transition table form.

FIG. 2 shows a procedure in the execution control table conversion section 11.

<<Step S1: development to program state transition unit>>

5 With regard to each state transition unit in a state transition table, a combination of <current program, next program> is developed, by which one program is selected from items (start, resume) associated with the start of execution control to be
10 set as "current program", and one program is selected from items (stop, finish, interrupt) associated with the end of execution control to be obtained as "next program".

15 In accordance with execution control items (start, resume) originating from the current program, "start type" is determined as follows:

 for start, "start type" = start

 for resume, "start type" = resume

20 In accordance with execution control items (FINISH, interrupt, stop) originating from the next program, "end type" is determined as follows:

 for stop, "end type" = interrupt end

 for finish, "end type" = complete

 for interrupt, "end type" = interrupt pause

25 In addition, a transition number is assigned to "transition".

 With regard to one state transition unit, one or

1005924 043102

more program state transition units are developed.

By performing the above processing for all state transition units included in the state transition table, a set of program state transition units, i.e., an execution control table, is obtained.

5

<<Step S2: searching for transition and creating program state transition unit>>

Assume that when a state transition table form is converted into an execution control table form, a given one of program state units

10

(current program, transition, start type, end type, next program)

has no current program or next program. In this case, program state transition units having "transitions" with transition numbers exhibiting

15

the forward direction relative to "transition" if no "next program" item exists;
the reverse direction relative to "transition" if no "current program" item exists

20

are searched by referring to the connection relationship between transitions in the state transition table. This processing is repeated until a processing unit (program) corresponding to "next program" or "current program" is searched out.

25

When such a program is found, a new program state transition unit including this program as "next program" or "current program" is created, and program

1005921 033102

state transition units in the process of the search are deleted. At this time, "transition" of the newly created program state transition unit is a transition sequence of all the transitions in the search processing.

<<Step S3: addition of new processing contents>>

A new execution process (program) interposed between the found program and the original program is added by properly inserting it in start and end portions in consideration of the continuity of transitions.

A state transition table is converted into an execution control table in accordance with the following procedure.

<Difference between state transition table form and execution control form>

In the state transition table form, the behavior of a system is described with state changes corresponding to events. This is an important description in a system analysis process. In the system design stage, execution processing contents such as computer programs are identified instead of states this time on the basis of the system analysis result, and a control scheme is designed, which is associated with the manners in which specific execution processing contents are started and stopped at specific timings.

The state transition table form uses a known

10059241.043102

method of describing processing contents in a state transition as an action to be executed at a transition and an activity to be executed during a state stay, as represented by the StateChart scheme. However, the state transition form is based on a premise that the execution of an action is instantaneously completed. Assigning an execution processing content demanding a predetermined execution time longer than 0, typified by a computer program, to a state transition is mismatching in terms of semantics. In this case, the consistency of the overall behavior of the system cannot be guaranteed.

In addition, since an activity exhibits one-to-one correspondence between a state and processing, the flexibility of a description in a state transition form, i.e., executing different actions even in the same states concerning a transition destination or source if different transitions take place, is impaired. This limits the description range.

As a consequence, with the technique of assigning execution processing contents such as computer programs to actions and activities in the state transition form, an accurate simulation cannot be executed and flexible system design cannot be performed.

The execution control table form is a new scheme of defining execution control on processing contents instead of state transitions.

The system implementation conversion section 12 which converts a specification described in the execution control table form into a specification described in the system description language will be described next.

The semantic contents of "specification described in system description language" will be described first. Processing in the system implementation conversion section 12 which converts "specification described in execution control table form" into "specification described in system description language" will be described next.

<System description language>

The system description language is a language for describing operation specifications of processing execution in a system implemented by a computer or electronic part circuit.

This description language has at least means for describing parallel processing, interrupt processing, synchronous processing, repetitive execution processing, and sequential execution processing, and can describe the specifications of a system with a hierarchical relationship using these processing elements. This language can also convert such specifications into those in a form that can be executed on a computer or a device incorporating an electronic part by using an appropriate implementation conversion unit. In this

case, the implementation conversion unit corresponds to a conversion unit called a compiler in a system implemented by software in a computer.

<SpecC language>

5 As a typical system description language, specification description language SpecC is available. For example, SpecC is described in detail in "SpecC: Specification Language and Methodology", Daniel D. Gajski, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, ISBN0-7923-7822-9.

10 SpecC is a specification description language having special language elements, par (parallel), fsm (repetitive + sequential), try/trap/interrupt (interrupt), and notify/wait (synchronous) to C/C++ (see the specific example shown in FIG. 10).

15 The following description exemplifies a case where a specification described in the system description language is expressed in the following form based on the language elements of SpecC.

20 · par{A, B, C}

 According to this language element, A, B, and C are concurrently executed.

 · fsm{{1, A, goto(2)},
 {2, B, flg == 3: goto(1), flg == 1 : goto(2)},
25 }

 In this case, each element of fsm is constituted by any one of the following:

{label, processing content, {condition: transition
upon establishment of condition},...},

{label, processing content, transition upon
completion of processing,...},

5 {label, processing content}, and
processing content

These elements are sequentially executed from the left
unless otherwise specified. If there is no transition
label item, {label, processing content} executes the
10 next fsm element upon completion of the processing
contents. Assume that label = 1 or the leftmost fsm
element is executed first. A transition is expressed
by "goto(x): X is label number". For example, goto(1)
indicates that the flow returns to the first fsm
15 element.

The above case indicates the following operation.
When A is executed and completed, B is executed. When
B is completed, A is executed if the value of the
variable flg is 3, and B is executed if the value is 1.

20 As in the following example, an element without
any label can be regarded as a simplified form of given
execution control using a label.

fsm{A, B, C} = fsm{{1, A, goto(2)}, {2, B,
goto(3)}, {3, C,...},...} .
25 try{A}trap(ev1){B}itrp(ev2){C}...

In the case of this language element, A is
executed first. If event ev1 occurs during execution

of A, A is forcibly ended, and B is executed. If event ev2 occurs during execution of A, A is paused, and C is executed. When C is completed, A is resumed.

5 Note that each of trap(e){X} and itrp(e2){Y} may be more than one.

• wait(ev)

This is synchronous processing which waits for the occurrence of event ev.

• notify(ev)

10 This is synchronous processing that causes event ev.

• flg = X

This is a substitution of a value into variable flg.

15 • flg == X

This is condition determination.

Assume that a hierarchical structure indicates that processing contents are further developed into a detailed specification described in the system description language.

20

The following is a specification sample having a hierarchical structure:

```
par{
    fsm{A, wait(ev2), B}
    try{C}trap(ev1){notify(ev2)}
}
```

25

This specification sample can be written in a

1005921.013102

natural language as follows:

"A and C are concurrently executed first. When event ev1 arrives, C is forcibly ended, and event ev2 is generated. If A has been completed at the time of occurrence of ev2, B is synchronously started."

<Processing in system implementation conversion section 12>

Processing in the system implementation conversion section 12 will be described next.

As described above, the system implementation conversion section 12 converts a specification described in the execution control table form into a specification described in the system description language.

FIG. 3 shows a procedure in the system implementation conversion section 12.

<<Step S11: development into system description language element by conversion rule>>

A conversion rule is a rule for developing a program state transition unit (one line) in an execution control table into a specification in the system description language.

The system implementation conversion section 12 develops all program state transition units in the execution control table into a specification in the system description language according to the conversion rule.

According to some conversion rules, for example,
in the processing content of "next program"

(current program A, transition T, movement type,
end type, next program B)

5 the following rules are applied to combinations of
<start type, end type> to develop them into part of a
specification described in the system description
language. Note that "ev_XX" in the following
description represents an event.

10 • In the case of <start, interrupt end>,
this combination is developed into
fsm{wait(ev_A_stop), B, goto(1)} and
try{A}trap{ev_T}{notify(ev_A_stop)}
 • In the case of <start, complete>,
15 this combination is developed into
fsm{wait(ev_A_end), B, goto(1)} and
fsm{A, notify(ev_A_end), goto(1)}
 • In the case of <start, interrupt pause>,
this combination is developed into
20 fsm{wait(ev_A_interrupt), B, goto(1)} and
try{A}itrp{ev_T}{fsm{notify(ev_A_interrupt),
wait(ev_A_resume)}};
 • In the case of <resume, complete>,
this combination is developed into
25 fsm{wait(ev_A_end), notify(ev_B_resume), goto(1)}
and
fsm{A, notify(ev_A_end), goto(1)}

• In the case of <resume, interrupt end>,
this combination is developed into
fsm{wait(ev_A_stop_resume), notify(ev_B_resume),
goto(1)} and

5 try{A}itrp{ev_T}{notify(ev_A_stop_resume)}

The system implementation conversion section 12
executes such development for all the program state
transition units included in the execution control
table.

10 <<Step S12: integrating processing content units into
specification>>

A set of portions of a developed specification are
integrated into the following form with particular
emphasis on each of processing contents (A, B,...)

15 fsm{wait for start, processing content, processing
after completion, transition} or

fsm{wait for start, processing content,
transition)

20 Assume that "wait for start" takes the following
forms:

wait for start = par{wait(synchronous event 1),
wait(synchronous event 2)} or
wait for start = wait (synchronous event 1,
synchronous 2)

25 Assume that "processing content" takes the form of
a list formed from

processing content = processing contents A, B,...

10059214.013102

or

processing content = try{A}trap(transition
event){processing after
transition} and
5 = try{A}itrp(transition
event){processing after
transition}

Processing after completion takes the following
form:

10 processing after completion = notify(synchronous
event)

In addition, a transition takes the following
form:

transition = goto(X), where X is label
15 In this case, the specification descriptions
developed according to the conversion rule are
classified as follows for the sake of descriptive
convenience.

(1) Start element fsm

20 An element having the following form:

fsm{wait(X), B, goto(1)}

with respect to processing content B will be called
start element fsm.

(2) End element try

25 An element having the following form:

try{X}trap(e){Y}

try{X}itrp(e){Y}

with respect to processing content X will be called end element try.

(3) End element fsm

An element having the following form:

5 fsm{X, notify(e), goto(1)}

with respect to processing contents X will be called end element fsm.

• [Integration of start element fsm and end element fsm]

fsm{wait(e), A, goto(1)}

10 fsm{A, notify(f), goto(1)}

are integrated into

fsm{wait(e), A, notify(f), goto(1)}

• [Integration of start elements fsm]

fsm{wait(A), B, goto(1)}

15 fsm{wait(C), B, goto(1)}

are integrated into

fsm{wait(A, C), B, goto(1)}

If a plurality of elements wait exist at the start, they are integrated into an OR (having at least one

20 event).

• [Integration of end elements try]

try{A}trap(e1){C}

try{A}trap(e2){D}

try{A}trap(e3){E}

25 are integrated into

try{A}trap(e1){C}trap(e2){D}trap(e3){E}

1005921.013102
20150112 12:50:01

• [Integration of start element fsm and end element try]

```
fsm{wait(A), B, goto(1)}  
try{B}trap(e){C}
```

are integrated into

```
5      fsm{wait(A), try{B}trap(e){C}, goto(1)}
```

Likewise,

```
fsm{wait(A), B, goto(1)}  
try{B}itrp(e){C}
```

are integrated into

```
10     fsm{wait(A), try{B}itrp(e){C}, goto(1)}
```

• [Integration of end elements fsm and try]

```
fsm{A, notify(end), goto(1)}  
try{B}trap(e){C}
```

are integrated into

```
15     fsm{try{fsm{A, notify(FINISH)}}  
        trap(e){C}  
        trap(FINISH){notify}(end)}
```

,

```
goto(1)}
```

20 In this case, FINISH is an event that notifies the end of A, which is detected by trap(FINISH), and end event end of A is finally issued.

<<Step S13: integrating specifications into overall system specification>>

25 When the specification portions obtained in step S12 are combined into a parallel execution structure (par), a final system specification is completed.

1005921-013100
2015-10-12 12:50:00

```
system specification =  
    par{  
        fsm{wait for start, processing content A,  
processing after completion},  
5        fsm{wait for start, processing content B,  
processing after completion},  
        fsm{wait for start, processing content C,  
processing after completion},  
    }
```

10 The specification described in the execution control table form is converted into a specification described in the system description language by the above procedure.

15 Note that the conversion rule used in this procedure is an example, and other conversion rules can be used to optimize a created system description language (e.g., minimize the size of a description) and facilitate actual calculations and implementation by a computer.

20 In addition, an obtained system specification can be converted into a desired structure by using, for example, a means for optimizing conversion while maintaining a function.

25 This embodiment will be described in more detail by taking the design of a compact disk playing apparatus (CD player) as an example. In this case, a CD player is an apparatus which controls a playing

1005921.013101
20150112155001

machine by pressing a play, pause, and stop buttons as control buttons (hardware buttons or buttons on a GUI window). Note that <XXX> indicates an event in which a control button XXX is pressed.

5 Assuming that the CD player has three states, namely stopped (a state where the player is stopped), playing (a state where the player is being played), and pausing (a state where the player is being paused), the specification of the CD player is described in the
10 state transition table form including the operation of pressing a control button as an event. FIG. 4 shows a state transition table in this case.

Each row of the state transition table describes to which state a current state makes a transition when
15 an event occurs. The details of the execution control item include start, stop, interrupt, and resume, in which processing content units (programs) stating how starting (start), interrupting/ending (stop),
interrupting/pausing (interrupt), and restoring from
20 interrupt pause (resume) are performed upon occurrence of a transition are defined. There are two types of processing content units in the CD player, namely play (execution of a play) and pause (execution of a pause).

Finish indicates that the end of processing
25 contents under execution is used as an event. With regard to transition number [3], the end of a play is defined as an event that causes a transition from

playing to stopped. At this time, in the event column,
[FINISH] explicitly indicates an end event.

In addition, when a transition is made from a
start state (transition [0]), a start program state
5 (start) is forcibly ended.

<Conversion to execution control>

The execution control table conversion section 11
converts a state transition table into an execution
control table.

10 First of all, following the procedure in step S1
in FIG. 2, state transition units having processing
contents (programs) in both items associated with
starts (start, resume) and items associated with ends
(stop, finish, interrupt) are selected and developed as
15 a combination of <current program, next program>.

Since transitions [2], [4], and [5] have both
items associated with starts and items associated with
ends, program state transition units are developed
first in the manner shown in FIG. 5.

20 Following the procedure in step S2 in FIG. 2,
connection relationships concerning transitions are
tracked. As a consequence, with respect to transitions
[7], [3], [6], and [0] having no [next program], the
respective transition connections are referred to in
25 the forward direction. In the case of transition [7],
for example, it is connected to transition [1] in the
forward direction through a stopped state, and

transition [1] has [next program]. Therefore, the new program state transition shown in FIG. 6 is obtained. In the cases of transitions [3], [6], and [0] as well, the new program state transitions shown in FIG. 6 are obtained.

Following the procedure in step S3 in FIG. 2, new processing contents (Xstop) are inserted between transitions [0], [3], [6], [7], and [1].

In consideration of the start and end, these processing contents are respectively inserted in the start item of transition [1] in the state transition table and the stop items of transitions [7], [3], [6], and [0] in the state transition table.

FIG. 7 shows the state transition table (corresponding to the execution control table finally obtained) obtained by inserting Xstop in the state transition table in FIG. 4. FIG. 8 shows the execution control table finally obtained.

<Conversion to system specification>

The system implementation conversion section 12 converts a specification in the execution control table form into a specification in the system description language.

First of all, following the procedure in step S11 in FIG. 3 and a conversion rule, each program state transition unit is converted into a unit in the SpecC form. FIG. 9 shows the result.

These units are compiled for the respective processing contents (play, pause, Xstop) as follows:

[play]

```
fsm{wait(ev_Xstop_stop),play,goto(1)}
5 fsm{play,notify(ev_play_end),goto(1)}
  try{play}itrap(ev_[2]){fsm{notify(ev_play_interrupt),
                           wait(ev_play_resume)}}
  try{play}trap(ev_[6]){notify(ev_play_stop)}
```

[pause]

```
10 fsm{wait(ev_play_interrupt),pause,goto(1)}
    try{pause}trap(ev_[5]){notify(ev_pause_stop)}
    try{pause}trap(ev_[7]){notify(ev_pause_stop)}
    try{pause}trap(ev_[4]){notify(ev_pause_stop_resume)}
```

[Xstop]

```
15 fsm{wait(start),Xstop,goto(1)}
    fsm{wait(ev_play_end),Xstop,goto(1)}
    fsm{wait(ev_play_stop),Xstop,goto(1)}
    fsm{wait(ev_pause_stop),Xstop,goto(1)}
    try{Xstop}trap(ev_[1]){notify(ev_Xstop_stop)}
```

20 [OTHERS]

```
fsm{wait(ev_pause_stop_resume),
      notify(ev_play_resume),goto(1)}
fsm{wait(ev_pause_stop_resume),
      notify(ev_play_resume),goto(1)}
```

25 These units are then integrated according to the procedure in step S12 in FIG. 3 and a specification integration rule. The following is the result.

10059211.013102

[Xstop]

fsm{wait(start),Xstop,goto(1)}

fsm{wait(ev_play_end),Xstop,goto(1)}

fsm{wait(ev_play_stop),Xstop,goto(1)}

5 fsm{wait(ev_pause_stop),Xstop,goto(1)}

try{Xstop}trap(ev_[1]){notify(ev_Xstop_stop)}

Start elements fsm are integrated into

fsm{wait(start,

ev_play_end,

10 ev_play_stop,

ev_pause_stop),

Xstop,goto(1)}

try{Xstop}trap(ev_[1]){notify(ev_Xstop_stop)}

Start element fsm and end element try are integrated

15 into

fsm{wait(start,

ev_play_end,

ev_play_stop,

ev_pause_stop),

20 try{Xstop}trap(ev_[1]){notify(ev_Xstop_stop)},

goto(1)

}

[play]

fsm{wait(ev_Xstop_stop),play,goto(1)}

25 fsm{

try{fsm{play,notify(FINISH)}}}

itrp(ev_[2]){fsm{notify(ev_play_intrrupt),wait(ev_play_
resume)}}}

30 trap(ev_[6]){notify(ev_play_stop)}

trap(FINISH){notify(ev_play_end)},

goto(1)

}

End elements try are integrated, and end elements fsm

10059211.013102

are integrated with end element try as follows:

```
fsm{wait(ev_Xstop_stop),play,goto(1)}
```

```
fsm{
```

```
    try{fsm{play,notify(FINISH)}}}
```

5

```
itrp(ev_[2]){fsm{notify(ev_play_intrrupt),wait(ev_play_
resume)}}}
```

```
    trap(ev_[6]){notify(ev_play_stop)}
```

```
    trap(FINISH){notify(ev_play_end)},
```

10

```
    goto(1)
```

```
}
```

In addition, start element fsm and end element fsm are integrated into

```
fsm{wait(ev_Xstop_stop),
```

15

```
    try{fsm{play,notify(FINISH)}}}
```

```
itrp(ev_[2]){fsm{notify(ev_play_intrrupt),wait(ev_play_
resume)}}}
```

```
    trap(ev_[6]){notify(ev_play_stop)}
```

20

```
    trap(FINISH){notify(ev_play_end)},
```

```
    goto(1)
```

```
}
```

[pause]

```
fsm{wait(ev_play_interrupt),pause,goto(1)}
```

25

```
try{pause}trap(ev_[5]){notify(ev_pause_stop_resume)}
```

```
try{pause}trap(ev_[7]){notify(ev_pause_stop)}
```

```
try{pause}trap(ev_[4]){notify(ev_pause_stop_resume)}
```

10059211-013102

Elements try are integrated into

```
fsm{wait(ev_play_interrupt),pause,goto(1)}  
try{pause}trap(ev_[5]){notify(ev_pause_stop_resume)}  
trap(ev_[7]){notify(ev_pause_stop)}  
5 trap(ev_[4]){notify(ev_pause_stop_resume)}
```

Furthermore, start element fsm and end element try are integrated into

```
fsm{wait(ev_play_interrupt),  
try{pause}trap(ev_[5]){notify(ev_pause_stop_resume)}  
10 trap(ev_[7]){notify(ev_pause_stop)}  
trap(ev_[4]){notify(ev_pause_stop_resume)},  
goto(1)  
}  
[OTHERS]
```

15 The identical elements are integrated into one element as follows:

```
fsm{wait(ev_pause_stop_resume),  
notify(ev_play_resume), goto(1)}
```

20 FIG. 10 shows the specification in the system definition language form finally obtained.

The each function described above can be implemented as software.

In addition, this embodiment can be practiced as a program for causing a computer to execute predetermined means (or causing the computer to function as
25 predetermined means or to realize predetermined functions) or a computer-readable recording medium on

1055921.013102

which the program is recorded.

Additional advantages and modifications will readily occur to those skilled in the art. Therefore, the invention in its broader aspects is not limited to the specific details and representative embodiments shown and described herein. Accordingly, various modifications may be made without departing from the spirit or scope of the general inventive concept as defined by the appended claims and their equivalents.

10059241 013102